PETITION UNDER 28 USC § 2254 FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

District Delawar	-е
Prisoner No. 243971	Case No. 99 11 000 751
Name of Respondent (authori	zed person having custody of petitioner)
v. Thomas Ca	ccoll
N S	
TION	
of conviction under attack	Dussesion of
APR - U.S. DISTI DISTRICATION	-5 2007
	Prisoner No. 263971 Name of Respondent (authority). Thomas Ca SS TION of conviction under attack O2 Amouths ault 1st and aissiow of Felor U.S. DISTAICE. U.S. DISTAICE.

9. If you did appeal, answer the following:
(a) Name of court Delaware Supreme Court
(b) Result Affizmed lower Count's decesion.
(c) Date of result and citation, if known March 3 ^{2d} 7004 No. 21, 2003
(d) Grounds raised Double Deopardy, 4th Amendment violation, state's use of
perjuzed testimony and failure of third count to dismiss indictment. (e) It you sought turther review of the decision on appear by a higher state court, please answer the following:
(1) Name of court //A
(2) Result
(3) Date of result and citation, if known
(4) Grounds raised/A
each direct appeal: (1) Name of court
(3) Date of result and citation, if known
(4) Grounds raised
 10. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, applications, or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or federal? Yes ☑ No □ 11. If your answer to 10 was "yes," give the following information: (a) (1) Name of court Susset County Superior Count (b) Nature of proceeding Post Conviction Relief Rule (1)
(3) Grounds raised Ineffective assistance of counsel, failure to properly litigate defendant 4th Amendment Claim, 2nd failure to a dequately

	investigate and present evidence to support argument that false widence was used
	investigate and present evidence to support argument that false evidence was used to obtain indictiment, 3rd failed to litigate Double Despardy Claim, 4th Fac
	to angue impermissible suggestive in-count identification, 3th, conflict. France
(4)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No V
(5)	Result Denied
	Date of result April 27th, 2005
	to any second petition, application or motion give the same information:
(1)	Name of court N A
	Nature of proceeding // A
(3)	Grounds raised
	·
(4)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No
(5)	Result/A
(6)	Date of result
(c) Di	d you appeal to the highest state court having jurisdiction the result of action taken on any petition, application
(1)	rition? First petition, etc. Yes No
(2)	Second petition, etc. Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\)
(d) If y	ou did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not:
-	/ / A
_	

State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully. Summarize briefly the feech ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts supporting same.

CAUTION: In order to proceed in the federal court, you must ordinarily first exhaust your available state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. If you fail to set forth all grounds in this petition, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date.

For your information, the following is a list of the most frequently raised grounds for relief in habeas corpus proceedings. Each statement preceded by a letter constitutes a separate ground for possible relief. You may raise any grounds which you may have other than those listed if you have exhausted your state court remedies with respect to them. However, you should raise in this petition all available grounds (relating to this conviction) on which you base your allegations that you are being held in custody unlawfully.

Do not check any of these listed grounds. If you select one or more of these grounds for relief, you must allege facts. The petition will be returned to you if you merely check (a) through (j) or any one of these grounds.

- (a) Conviction obtained by plea of guilty which was unlawfully induced or not made voluntarily with understanding of the nature of the charge and the consequences of the plea.
- (b) Conviction obtained by use of coerced confession.
- (c) Conviction obtained by use of evidence gained pursuant to an unconstitutional search and seizure.
- (d) Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest.
- (e) Conviction obtained by a violation of the privilege against self-incrimination.
- (f) Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant.
- (g) Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy.
- (h) Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impaneled.
- (i) Denial of effective assistance of counsel.
- (j) Denial of right of appeal.

٠,	
Α.	Ground one: Defense Coursel's failure to properly 1. Ligate defendants
	4th Amendment claim denied defendant a fair trial.
	21:00 . 1 1 . 1
	Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law) Police officer intentionally
	falsified information in the warrant affadavit
	to obtain judical apparoual of warrantless
	arrest.
	See- attached Memorandum
,	

Ground two: Delense Counsel failure to investigate and introduce evidence on information that false evidence used to obtain indictment.

Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Defense Counsel did

Not present any widence on in Formation that would support defendant's argument that the state used false evidence to obtain Grand Jury

Indictment. Counsel admitted in agen count that he failed to establish any meaningful argument to the Court. See attached memoran dum

	C.	Ground three: Delinse Counsel failed to object to the States use of
		expent testimony, without any Discovery material given by
		State. Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Police officen testified
		as expent in Forensic science and finger print analysis
		without any pre-trial discovery relating to his expert
		opinion, qualifications on examination tests on the
		matter.
		See attacked Memorandum
	D.	Ground four Defense Coursel actively represented defendant
		having an actual conflict of interest.
		Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): On Suptember 23rd, 2002
		Defense counsel petitioned the trial Judge in written
		correspondance for hearing on conflict of Interest.
		also. (GROUND # 5) Defense Counsel Failed to object to
		State's use of false Expert testimony.
		State use False expent destining by Dr. Carl Maschaver
		to obtain conviction.
13.		ny of the grounds listed in 12A, B, C, and D were not previously presented in any other court, state or federal, state briefly at grounds were not so presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them:
		None applicable
14.	Do	you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or federal, as to the judgment under attack?
		□ No ☑
15.	Giv	e the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attacked
		At preliminary hearing Thomas Bradley
	(b)	At arraignment and plea Ruth Smy the

(c) At trial 1st trial Rith Smythe
2nd trial James Liquori
(d) At sentencing 1st trial Ruth Smythe
2nd + rial James Liquori
(e) On appeal 1st Direct Appeal Clayton Sweeney
ZNd Direct Appeal Pro-se
(f) In any post-conviction proceeding Pro- se
(g) On appeal from any adverse ruling in a post-conviction proceeding Pro- se
16. Were you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court and at the same time?
Yes 🗹 No 🗆
17. Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack? Yes □ No □
(a) If so, give name and location of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future: Superior
Count, Sussex County
(b) Give date and length of the above sentence: 12/12/62
6 years 9 months
(c) Have you filed, or do you contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to be
served in the future? Yes No D
Wherefore, petitioner prays that the Court grant petitioner relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding.
alongo Malas I
Signature of Attorney (if any)
(tzo-se)
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on
3 25 07
(date)
Signature of Petitioner
Digitature of 1 etitioner

SBIH 24397/ GOSO I :07-6X1-00194-GMS
DELAWARE CORRECTIONAL CENTER

Document 2

Filed 04/05/2007 Page 7 of 7

1181 PADDOCK ROAD SMYRNA, DELAWARE 19977



Legal Mail

king Stacet Lock Box 18, Wilming for D.E. 19801

Legal Mail